

144

Amberwood,
Headley Village,
Bordon,
Hampshire.

16th July 1976.

Dear Mr. Ellis,

Broxhead Common.

Thank you for your letter of last month, together with the receipt for 25.

My wife and I note that Mr. Whitfield is to appeal against the Chief Commissioner's decision regarding the registration of Broxhead Common. The Chief Commissioner has, we understand, ruled in favour of the commoners and therefore we wonder why we have to incur further substantial liability in defending what is already agreed?

If, however, it is considered advisable to be represented at the appeal then surely 24,000 seems an exceptionally high charge on top of the other legal costs.

Again it is not understood why the Chief Commissioner did not award costs against Mr. Whitfield in the first place. It seems hardly reasonable that the commoners should have to bear these charges in trying to preserve their ancient rights when their potential financial gain is nil.

Yours sincerely,

(Captain B. Nicholson, and
Mrs. G.B.S. Nicholson)

Mr. John Ellis,
Chairman
Broxhead Commoners' Association
Headley Mill.