

----- Original Message -----

From: [Maureen](#)

To: [Mark Kemp-Gee](#)

Cc: Ferris.Cowper@easthants.gov.uk ; David.Parkinson@easthants.gov.uk

Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2008 3:34 PM

Subject: BRIDLEWAY FROM CRADLE LANE TO BW54 BROXHEAD COMMON

Dear Mark,

The attached as promised. The questions I will set out below:

1. Given the detailed review of evidence submitted to the Inspectorate, why has Andrew Smith decided to oppose his own order?
2. Have the Cllrs requested him to do this and if not why is he wasting taxpayers time and money on it, especially as it is a bridleway link to be found in the ROWIP's
3. Is it realised that the Inspectorate can and do award costs against Councils who seek to argue on points which have already been covered.
4. Andrew Smith seems to be argueing for the sake of doing so rather than realising the public benefit. This of course he is quite welcome to do, but not I suggest in the name of HCC.
5. Please may I advise that the objection be withdrawn asap as the HCC should be spending money on its statutory duties rather than litigation, especially in a case such as this where it is arguing against its own policies of public access

Kind Regards