



The Planning Inspectorate

Room 4/05 Kite Wing
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Temple Quay
Bristol BS1 6PN

Direct Line: 0117-372 8626
Switchboard: 0117-372 8000
Fax No: 0117-372 6241
GTN: 1371 8626
e-mail: clive.richards@pins.gsi.gov.uk
<http://www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk>

The Three Counties Bridleway Group
Mrs M Comber
The Old Cottage
Frith End
Bordon, Hampshire
GU35 0QS

Your Ref:

Our Ref: FPS/Q1770/7/70

Date: 3 April 2009

Applicant

Dear Madam

WILDLIFE AND COUNTRYSIDE ACT 1981 SECTION 53
Hampshire County Council
The Hampshire (East Hampshire District No. 27) (Parish of Headley)
Definitive Map Modification Order 2008

I refer to the above mentioned Order which, due to un-resolved objections/representations, has been submitted by the Hampshire County Council for determination by the Secretary of State.

In view of the objections/representations which have been made and having regard to the requirements of paragraph 7(2) of Schedule 15 to the 1981 Act it is proposed to hold a local inquiry into the matter.

It is understood that the Order was made on the direction of the Secretary of State and I understand from the Council that they will be taking an opposing stance at the forthcoming Inquiry. Accordingly, I am writing to ask whether you would be prepared to present the case for the Order at the Inquiry.

The Inspector will not have access to the appeal documents. It is therefore very important that you submit all the evidence you intend to rely upon to the Inspector in advance of the Inquiry, even if it was submitted as evidence for the Schedule 14 appeal. It may also be necessary for you to provide copies for distribution to other parties at the Inquiry. If you no longer have copies of the appeal documentation, please contact me as soon as possible.

If you agree to present the case, a member of staff from the team responsible for charting Inspectors will contact both yourself and the Council about possible dates for the Inquiry. Before confirming the date that is acceptable to you, you may wish to liaise with the Council to ensure that the date is mutually convenient and to discuss any other matters which you may feel to be relevant.

I enclose a booklet which you may find useful and in addition, a copy of our Advice Note on inquiry procedures where the Order Making Authority does not actively support an order. **Please Note:** Whilst the advice note only refers to the holding



INSPECTORATE FOR PEOPLE



INSPECTORATE FOR PEOPLE

of inquiries we are currently in the process of updating it to include reference to hearings and it as such it applies to both procedures.

A reply within 7 days would be greatly appreciated.

In the event that no-one is prepared to present the case in support of the Order at inquiry, we will need to consider whether the Order can be dealt with via the written representations procedure (Section 2 of the enclosed booklet refers).

Yours faithfully

Clive Richards
(Rights of Way Section)

CONDUCT OF INQUIRIES INTO RIGHTS OF WAY ORDERS WHERE ORDER MAKING AUTHORITIES DO NOT ACTIVELY SUPPORT AN ORDER**Introduction**

1. This Advice Note sets out the procedures to be followed where an order making authority (OMA) does not actively support a definitive map or public path order.
2. The booklets, "Public Path Orders" and "Definitive Map Orders" are published by the Planning Inspectorate and are issued free of charge to the public. Part 3 of each booklet describes what typically happens when a public inquiry into a rights of way order takes place. However, where an OMA chooses not to support an order, the Inspector will need to consider adopting different inquiry procedures from those set out in the booklets. When this happens, the Inspector will explain to the inquiry why and how the procedure is being changed. The guidance in this Advice Note is intended to assist all concerned in preparing for such circumstances where these can reasonably be foreseen.
3. This Advice Note is publicly available but has no legal force.

Background

4. In most cases, an OMA will not make an order unless it is satisfied that the circumstances justify it. Exceptions to this occur when an OMA declines to make the requested order but the applicant successfully appeals to the Secretary of State.
5. In the case of a definitive map order, this may result in the OMA being directed to make the order under Schedule 14 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. In the case of a public path order, the Secretary of State may decide to make an order using powers under Sections 26 or 120 of the Highways Act 1980, or Section 247 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
6. In such circumstances, where an OMA has previously assessed the facts of the case and decided the making of an order is not justified, it may opt to actively oppose confirmation of the order or it may choose to adopt a neutral stance whereby it neither supports nor objects to confirmation.
7. There may also be occasions where the OMA supported the initial making of the order but advertisement brought to light new information, further evidence or valid objections which caused the OMA to conclude that confirmation is not justified. Again, the OMA may opt to actively oppose confirmation of the order or it may choose to remain neutral as regards confirmation.
8. Sometimes an OMA is content to make the requested order but is not prepared to actively support it at an inquiry if it is opposed. Such examples are usually where an order is made under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to enable development to proceed or the Highways Act 1980 in the interests of a landowner. OMAs may choose to remain neutral as regards confirmation of the order, to passively support it or even to oppose it if new information or objections following advertisement cause a change of mind.

9. Similar circumstances may arise where, after having considered the details of a case, the Inspector proposes modifications to an order but advertisement results in objections to these modifications leading to a second or subsequent inquiry (see paragraphs 28 to 32 below). In this scenario, the parties concerned (including the OMA) may support, oppose or take a neutral position as regards the proposed modifications.

The role of the OMA

10. In normal circumstances, where an OMA actively supports confirmation of the order at an inquiry, its advocate will present the case in support and call witnesses as required, cross-examine witnesses who oppose the order, and finally will draw together the proceedings at the end of the inquiry, summing up the case in support and making any legal submissions as appropriate.
11. Where an OMA has decided not to support confirmation of the order at a forthcoming inquiry (or otherwise) the Planning Inspectorate should be informed at the earliest opportunity. A clear statement indicating whether the OMA intends to actively oppose the order or to adopt a neutral stance is required, together with an indication of its reasons for doing so.
12. When this occurs, the Planning Inspectorate will try to secure the agreement of the applicant or another supporter of the order to take the lead in presenting the case. The assistance of the OMA in suggesting (and sometimes approaching) possible candidates would be helpful. If no-one is prepared to take the lead (and assuming the case cannot instead be determined by exchange of written representations) at the inquiry the Inspector will summarise the case for the order from the information before him or her, with the applicant or any other supporters being invited to give their own evidence in due course.
13. Aside from the case for (or against) the order, it is usual for the OMA, if represented by a law professional, to offer assistance to the Inspector on points of law or procedure, should any arise during the course of the inquiry. Where the OMA has made clear that it will not be appearing in support of the order, it would be helpful to the Inspector to know at an early stage whether or not the OMA's representative can, and is willing to, offer such assistance at the inquiry if required.

At the start of the inquiry

14. At the start of an inquiry, it is normal practice to hear the case **for** the order from those who support it before hearing the case **against** from the objectors. Where the OMA is appearing as a supporter or objector to the order, it will be invited to present its case in accordance with that convention.
15. Where the OMA has given notice that it will take a neutral stance, the Inspector will invite its representative to make an opening statement before both the supporters and objectors. However, this statement should be limited to a summary of the background to the making of the order and the reasons for the OMA choosing to remain neutral as regards its confirmation. It should not contain submissions for or against the order (which should more properly be given by the OMA in the role of supporter or objector) but be a factual account of the history of the order and the key issues which influenced the OMA's

- conclusions leading to its neutral position.
16. If circumstances arise immediately prior to the inquiry that cause the OMA to revise its position so that it no longer intends to remain neutral, the Inspector should be informed at the start of the inquiry so that the OMA can be heard as either a supporter or objector in the usual sequence of events.
 17. Should the reverse situation occur, where information comes to light at the last minute causing an OMA to withdraw its support for the order, thereby becoming an objector or taking a neutral stance, the Inspector must be advised at the earliest possible opportunity.
 18. Unless arrangements can be made before the event (see paragraph 12) the Inspector will enquire whether any of the supporters present at the inquiry are prepared to take the lead in presenting the case in support of the order. A request for an adjournment is unlikely to be refused although the length of any adjournment will depend on the circumstances of the individual case. It may vary from a few minutes to allow the applicant or supporter to gather his or her thoughts, to several days or longer to enable a full case to be prepared.
 19. If there is no-one prepared to lead the case in support of the order, the Inspector may decide to adjourn until a suitable volunteer can be found or, alternatively to summarise the main points in support of the order him or herself, after which the individual supporters will give their evidence to the inquiry. In some cases it may be appropriate to close the inquiry altogether and alternative arrangements made for determining the order.
 20. Any last minute changes which significantly delay matters or affect the smooth running of the inquiry can put the party responsible at risk of a claim for costs against them unless the issues could not reasonably have been foreseen. However this should not cause any party to withhold late evidence which has a significant bearing on the determination of the order.

During the inquiry

21. Particularly in the case of definitive map orders, there may be archival or other documentary evidence which is held by (or has been otherwise discovered by) the OMA that needs to be taken into account by the Inspector when determining the order. Where the OMA takes a neutral stance at an inquiry, such evidence may not be relied on by either supporters or objectors but should nonetheless be put before the Inspector.
22. The Inspector will decide on the appropriate time for any such evidence to be presented to the inquiry depending on the nature of the case. Whilst neutral OMAs are still obliged to make such documents available for inspection by the Inspector (if necessary by arrangement with local record offices), there is no requirement for these to be presented by professional witnesses. Depending on the complexity of the documents concerned, it may be helpful to the Inspector if the OMA is able to provide an officer to answer any factual questions in relation to the documents. However, if interpretation of the evidence is at issue, the OMA may decide whether or not to proffer a professional witness to present the OMA's interpretation (bearing in mind its neutral status in relation to the Order) and consequently accept any cross-examination from supporters and objectors.

30. If, however, the OMA intends to make representations against the proposed modifications, its objections will be heard at the same stage as other objectors, that is, after any representations in support of the modifications have been made. If the OMA wishes to make a closing statement, it may do so along with any other objectors but before the closing submissions of the supporters.
31. Where the OMA takes a neutral stance on proposed modifications to an order, they would not be expected to present any evidence either for or against the proposed changes. The Inspector may, nonetheless, ask whether they would be prepared to assist in clarifying matters not concerned with the merits of the order. At the end of the inquiry, the Inspector will ask the OMA if they have any final comments to make after inviting closing statements from the objectors and then the supporters.
32. Where a second inquiry is held to consider both the original order and modifications proposed by the Inspector, the sequence in which the parties are heard is a matter to be determined by the Inspector at the start of the inquiry, in consultation with those concerned.

In conclusion

33. Circumstances will differ from one inquiry to another. This advice note is not therefore intended to be exhaustive; it is only a guide. Inspectors will continue to exercise their judgement and discretion in these matters, based on each individual case.